Original Article Received/Accepted Dates 27.07.2023/04.09. 2023 DOI 10.52096/usbd.7.31.31 International Journal of Social Sciences Uluslararası Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi www.sobider.net ISSN: 2548-0685



The Mediating Role of Brand Love on The Relationship Between Consumer Ethnocentrism and Brand Loyalty with Structural Equation Modelling

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Güneş Açelya SİPAHİ

Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi, Söke İşletme Fakültesi acelya.sipahi@adu.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-6414-5618

Doç. Dr. Algın OKURSOY

Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi, Söke İşletme Fakültesi <u>a.okursoy@adu.edu.tr</u>, ORCID: 0000-0003-2700-3503

Araş. Gör. Batuhan ÇULLU

Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi, Söke İşletme Fakültesi <u>batuhancullu@adu.edu.tr</u>, ORCID: 0000-0003-4969-1466

Abstract

Because retaining current consumers is less expensive than acquiring new ones and because there are now a variety of other brands in practically every industry, sellers try to develop an emotional bond with their customers and win their loyalty to their brand. Brand love and consumer ethnocentrism are important factors in brand loyalty. Brand love is the deeply felt affection for a brand. Consumer ethnocentrism refers to consumer preference for domestic brands or against foreign brands. Two goals drive this study. Examining the relationships between consumer ethnocentrism, brand love, and brand loyalty is the study's first goal. Finding out how brand love influences consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty is the second goal. To achieve the aim of the study, 285 graduate and postgraduate students participated in the data

collection. Confirmatory structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to analyze data. The study's findings indicate a significant relationship between ethnocentric tendencies, brand love, and brand loyalty. Additionally, brand love partially mediates the link between customer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty. **Keywords:** consumer ethnocentrism, brand love, brand loyalty, structural equation modeling

Marka Aşkının Tüketici Etnosentrizmi ile Marka Bağlılığı Arasındaki İlişkiye Aracılık Etkisinin Yapısal Eşitlik Modellemesi ile İncelenmesi

Özet

Günümüzde hemen hemen her sektörde çok sayıda marka olması ve mevcut müşterileri elde tutmanın yeni müşteri kazanımından çok daha ucuz olması nedeniyle satıcılar, müşterileriyle duygusal bir bağ kurarak onları sadık müşteri haline dönüştürmeyi hedeflemektedir. Marka aşkı ve tüketici etnosentrizmi marka bağlılığında önemli faktörlerdir. Marka aşkı, marka için derin duygular beslemektir. Tüketici etnosentrizmi, tüketicinin yerli markaları tercih etmesi veya yabancı markalara karşı olmasını ifade eder. Bu çalışmanın iki amacı bulunmaktadır. Çalışmanın birinci amacı, marka aşkı, tüketici etnosentrizmi ve marka bağlılığı arasındaki ilişkiyi incelemektir. İkinci amacı, marka aşkının tüketici etnosentrizmi ve marka bağlılığı arasındaki ilişkiye aracılık etkisini anlamaktır. Veri 285 lisansüstü ve yüksek lisans öğrencisinden toplanmıştır. Çalışma doğrulayıcı faktör analizi ve yapısal eşitlik modellemesi (SEM) kullanılarak analiz edilmiştir. Çalışma sonuçları, marka aşkı, marka sadakati ve etnosentrik eğilimler arasında güçlü bir ilişki olduğunu göstermektedir. Ayrıca marka aşkının tüketici etnosentrizmi ve marka bağlılığı üzerinde kısmi aracılık etkisi vardır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: tüketici etnosentrizmi, marka aşkı, marka bağlılığı, yapısal eşitlik modeli

1. INTRODUCTION

Coffee shop culture has been expanding over the past few decades, both internationally and in Turkey. Numerous local and international brands are in direct competition with one another. Most businesses, including coffee shops, aspire to have a strong brand that gives them an edge in the marketplace. As a result, the company experiences higher profit margins are less susceptible coming from external pressures and has more opportunity to build its brand. Brand loyalty offers firms several benefits. Customers who support a brand strongly express their opinions about it and tell their friends and family about it, which benefits the company financially and acts as a natural

conduit for a promotion that attracts new clients. In this way, businesses provide time and cost savings to gain new customers. In the coffee shop market where competition is intense, it will be possible for a business to succeed through loyal customers connected with love.

Consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty are twoa variables that promote customer loyalty. An emotional and passionate attachment to a brand is known as brand love (Carroll and Ahuvia, 2006). Strong emotional effects, which comprise a variety of experiences, are the primary cause of brand love, which also results in behavioral effects like brand loyalty (Langner, 2014).

The impact of ethnocentric tendencies on the context of cultural values has recently been studied in relation to whether or not cultural values influence brand preference. Wang and Chen (2004) assert that those who think ethnocentrically favor domestic goods. Consumers prefer their own products because of their moral commitment even if the product produced in their own country is not always of good quality. Furthermore, consumer ethnocentrism is also a means of expressing personal identity (Shimp and Sharma, 1987). In other words, because of ethnocentrism, consumers may believe that it is immoral to buy products from other countries (Klein and Etterson, 1999). When compared to imported items, consumers prefer domestically produced goods and are frequently ready to pay more for them. Customers often only pay more for imported goods when they are significantly higher in quality (Knight, 1999).

The importance of this study is to reveal the general criteria for choosing coffee shops, as well as determine the effect of consumer ethnocentrism on brand love and loyalty and reveal the relationship between consumer loyalty and brand love. By putting greater emphasis on locality or foreignness in their promotions, firms may be able to retain clients by using the findings of this study.

The study's introduction is presented in the first section, while the second section reviews relevant literature. In the third section, conceptual models and assumptions are provided. The study's methodology is described in the fourth section that follows. In the fifth chapter, analysis and findings are provided, and the final chapter contains conclusions and recommendations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

To build the conceptual model, the study first develops hypotheses regarding consumer ethnocentrism, brand love and brand loyalty.

2.1. Consumer Ethnocentrism

It is generally accepted that sociologist Sumner defined the term "ethnocentrism" for the first time in 1906. (Bizumic, 2014). According to Sumner (1906), ethnocentrism is the technical name of putting people's groups at the center of everything. Ethnocentric tendencies include seeing one's own culture as superior to other cultures, approaching other cultures with trepidation, wanting to be respected by the group to which one belongs, and attempting to set one's own culture apart. From this vantage point, the place of origin, which is expressed as production in a country or region, can influence how consumers see a product in either a favorable or negative way.

Consumers of an ethnocentric culture may tend to prefer products of the same culture or region, while negatively judging those from other cultures. For example, it is highlighted in Shimp and Sharma's (1987) study how ethical it is for American consumers to use products from other countries. According to the findings, purchasing imported goods is definitely not a patriotic act, is improper from the perspective of ethnocentric consumers, and causes unemployment and economic harm. Wang and Chen's studies show that consumers who depend on ethnocentric thinking prefer local products. Consumers prefer goods produced in their own country because of their moral commitment, even if the product produced in their country is not always high quality (Wang and Chen, 2004).

The phenomenon of ethnocentrism has not only been studied in the scope of consumers' ethics or morality; it has been discussed in the literature with various socio-demographic and economic variables. From perspective of consumer demographics such as age, Vida and Fairhurst (1999) concluded that older people behave more ethnocentric than young people. In addition, Shimp and Sharma (1987) say that consumers in the upper socio-economic group is less ethnocentric than lower socio-economic group.

It is also possible to find research on the ethnocentric perspectives of developed and less developed nations. Comparisons of countries with a macroeconomic viewpoint with regard to ethnocentrism have shown that consumers in less developed countries prefer imported goods due to their quality perception (Papadopulous et al 1990; La Barre, 1994; Agbonifoh and Eliminian, 1994; Mohammad et al., 2000, Mohammad et al. 2000).

In a narrower scope, it is possible to reach studies on the ethnocentrism of consumers of countries. These types of studies especially try to explain the consumption decisions and motivations of the societies they examine under the phenomenon of ethnocentrism. For instance,

Varma (2007) found that Indian consumers showed very high demand for foreign goods and listed the reasons for the search for status symbol, inferiority complex, increasing relations with the west, increasing consumer income, changing expectations and consumers being culturally open to brands. Since Turkish consumers constitute the observation group of this research, it is important to focus on previous studies on the ethnocentric approaches of Turkish consumers. Turkish consumers, who have a high propensity for ethnocentrism, believe that consuming foreign goods will have a negative impact on their nation's economy (Küçükemirolu, 1999). In addition, Turkish customers are showing a rising ethnocentric trend, according to Uzkurt and Özmen (2004). Also, Aysuna and Altuna (2008) claim that in terms of ethnocentricity, Turkey is comparable to the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus. According to their survey, consumers who support the Greek Cypriot side are less ethnocentric. Additionally, Turkish customers prefer local items when they are of an equal quality to imported ones (Açıkdilli et al., 2018). Lastly, According to Eroğlu and Sarı's (2017) research, students' propensity to stick with a certain brand decrease when they consider whether domestic products are included in the products they prefer.

It has been observed that CETSCALE (Consumers' Ethnocentric Tendencies Scale) scale is frequently used to measure consumer ethnocentrism in the literature. The CETSCALE is a useful tool for assessing the degree to which cultural biases and beliefs affect purchasing decisions and can help businesses and marketers better understand how to appeal to different cultural groups. The original CETSCALE is comprised of one dimension which includes 17 items that are scored on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree" (Shimp and Sharma, 1987). The items on the scale measure an individual's attitudes toward purchasing foreign products as well as their perceptions of the quality, value, and patriotism of domestic products.

Despite some criticism (Bawa, 2004), the CETSCALE is without a doubt the most utilized scale in consumer ethnocentrism research (Miguel vd., 2022). It has been validated in numerous investigations with a high level of internal consistency in many culturally diverse consumers, such as, Canadian and Russian (Saffu and Walker, 2005; Shimp and Sharma, 1987; Netemeyer et al. 1991, Good and Huddleston, 1995), Malaysian (Teo et al., 2011), Portugese (Miguel vd., 2022), Iranian (Nadimi et al., 2012), Saudi Arabian (Sulphey and Faridi, 2020), Indian (Sigh and Dihman, 2012), finally Turkish (Aysuna, 2006; Armağan and Gürsoy, 2011; Arı and Madran, 2011; Tuncer and Gökşen, 2016; Şahin and Sancı, 2017; Demir, 2018; Avcı, 2020).

Herche (1992) stated that the scale is a much better predictor of import purchasing behavior than demographic variables. In national studies, the CETSCALE yields more consistent results (Stepchenkova, 2022). In addition, it has produced results with a high level of reliability and consistency in numerous studies concentrating on Turkish consumers. For these reasons, the single dimension CETSCALE was used to measure consumer ethnocentrism in this study.

2.2. Brand Love

Love can be defined as "an attitude held by a person toward a particular other person, involving predispositions to think, feel and behave in certain ways toward the other person" (Rubin, 1973, p. 265). According to Sternberg's (1986) triangular theory of love depicts emotions as a triangle which's three corners complement each other. These three components are, closeness (top vertex of the triangle), passion (triangle's left vertex) and commitment (triangle's right vertex). These three intersection points complement each other and are inseparable from love. Although, people's emotions toward objects and loved ones are similar (Albert & Valette-Florence, 2010), brand love (due to commercial products) and interpersonal love differs by means of their forms. (Shimp and Madden, 1988; Ahuvia, 1993).

In the literature, various definitions and dimensions of brand love have been attempted to be explained. First time brand love concept brought to the world of marketing by Kevin Roberts, CEO of Saatchi and Saatchi. According to Kevin Roberts, brand love, which also means that a brand's respect in the eyes of consumer, occurs in three dimensions as "mystery", "joy", and "intimacy" (Roberts, 2005). Carrol and Ahuvia (2006) defined brand love as "the degree of passionate emotional attachment a satisfied consumer has for particular trade name". They suggested a multi-item but unidimensional scale. However, as Albert and Merunka (2013) argued that the concept of brand love which is a complex structure in which many emotions interact can be better explained by a multidimensional scale rather than a one-dimensional scale. Albert et al. (2008) established eleven dimensions as; "passion", "duration", "self-congruity", "dreams", "memories", "pleasure", "attractions", "uniqueness", "beauty", "trust", and "declaration" while Batra et al. (2012) explains seven dimensions as; "positive attitude valence", "self-brand integration", "positive emotional connection", "separation distress", "long-term relationship", "passion-driven behavior", and "attitude strength". Followingly, Bagozzi et al. (2017) developed more useful brand love scales for shorter questionnaires.

2.3. Brand Loyalty

Oliver (1999) defines brand loyalty as a strong sense of commitment that a customer-purchased product will be repurchased in the future, despite external factors and the marketing efforts of various brands. Brand loyalty is a psychological feature that emerges because of decision making and evaluation process over time (Fournier, 1997). Sales of a brand varies according to "the number of customers who preferred that brand", "the number of customers who prefer that brand", "how often customers prefer that brand?", and "to what extend those customers prefer other brands". These phrases can also be viewed as signs of brand loyalty (Ehrenberg et al., 2004). The key to a brand's success is cultivating a following of loyal customers rather than just being able to sell to them once (Odin et al., 1999). Every company's marketing strategy is frequently seen as being centered on brand loyalty, especially in the service sector where markets are notoriously competitive and hard to differentiate (Fournier and Yao, 1997). Organizations achieving greater number of loyal customers are said to be capable of subsequently gaining higher market shares, earning higher return on investment rates, enhancing bargaining power from different suppliers and distribution channels, and eliciting positive word-of-mouth communication (Nawaz and Usman, 2011).

The ancestors of loyalty studies associated loyalty with behavior, as in the repeated purchase of a specific product or service over time (Kim et al., 2016). However, Day (1969) argued that brand loyalty goes beyond buying the same brand and described it as a positive attitude toward a brand and positive purchasing behavior. From that view, Jacoby and Chestnut (1978) created their theory which modeled by behavioral and attitudinal brand loyalty. Then Dick and Basu (1994) proposed a framework for brand loyalty that includes "relative attitude" and "repeat patronage". From this perspective Oliver (1997) proposed the theory of loyalty stages, also called the loyalty ladder. Accordingly, attitudinal brand loyalty consists of "cognitive", "affective" and "conative" processes respectively. Also, Back and Parks (2003) modeled brand loyalty based on the stages of loyalty theory and supported the three dimensions of brand loyalty (Back and Parks, 2003). Oliver's framework (1997, 1999) indicates that cognitive loyalty is the idea that a product or service is better than other options based on the information provided. Also, a customer's positive feelings about a brand or service provider are shown by their affective loyalty. Lastly, conative loyalty is a customer's plans to keep using a service provider which is linked to a strong desire to buy from the same source again (Harris & Goode, 2004).

Oliver's brand loyalty framework is widely used in goods and service marketing literature. For example, by using this framework, Li et al. (2020) examined the impact of brand personality on brand loyalty in the hospitality industry, Fu (2019) investigated the relationship between existential authenticity and heritage tourist destination loyalty, and Kang et al. (2015) explored the self-congruity and functional congruity in brand loyalty of coffee shop customers.

3. RESEARCH MODEL AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

This model stresses that, was examined the mediating role of brand love in the effect of consumer ethnocentrism on brand loyalty. The research model is shown in Figure 1.





3.1. Ethnocentrism and Brand Love

Consumer ethnocentrism has been identified as an important factor in consumer decisionmaking, particularly concerning local brands (Strizhakova and Coulter, 2015). Lantz and Loeb (1996) found that consumers evaluate domestic and foreign products differently based on their degree of ethnocentric feelings. As the ethnocentric degree of the consumer increases, there is a higher tendency to prefer local products. Additionally, consumers are more likely to purchase goods from nations they perceive as close to their own. Ramadania et al. (2015) concurred that consumer ethnocentrism negatively impacts consumers' attitudes toward foreign products.

In the fashion industry, Van den Berg et al. (2017) conducted a study focusing on South Africa, its relationship with regional brands, the love of regional brands there, and how it affects people's perceptions of and intentions to buy from international fashion companies. In this study, data were collected from 500 black middle-class women in South Africa. The findings revealed that low ethnocentric beliefs have a detrimental impact on attitudes toward global companies while positively fostering affinity for local brands.

Furthermore, Pentz et al. (2017) found a connection between ethnocentrism and love, suggesting that ethnocentric tendencies can influence emotional attachment to brands. Overall, these studies highlight the significant role of consumer ethnocentrism in shaping preferences and decision-making processes, particularly in the context of local versus foreign products. Therefore, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

H1: Consumer ethnocentrism positively influences brand love

3.2.. Ethnocentrism and Brand Loyalty

Previous studies have shown a connection between consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty. Ethnocentric customers tend to reward their loyalty to national brands, showing their desire to remain within their own group, and negatively influence any loyalty to a foreign product, according to Zeithaml et al. (1996). Also, Eroğlu and Sarı (2011) find a strong relationship between ethnocentrism and brand loyalty. Ethnocentric consumers show more loyalty toward domestic products, and they have less or even zero loyalty for foreign-made products (Abosag and Farah, 2014). The study by Makanyeza (2015) on the manufacture of imported poultry meat demonstrated that ethnocentric tendencies have a detrimental impact on consumer loyalty to foreign brands. On the other hand, as the studies of Sahin and Gültekin (2017), showed that ethnocentric tendencies of the consumers had a strong effect on brand loyalty. Unlike Areiza-Padilla et al. (2020) discovered in their study of coffee shops, Colombian consumers are ethnocentric, but they are loyal to the mentioned global brand. There is a growing interest in the literature on consumer awareness, ethnocentrism, and loyalty. However, research on the impact of consumer awareness on consumer ethnocentrism and loyalty in the public sphere is lacking. Furthermore, there are insufficient scientific studies on the impact of consumer ethnocentrism on loyalty. As a result, the study sought to add to the literature by investigating the relationship between consumer awareness, ethnocentrism, and loyalty. As a result, the following hypothesis was developed:

H2: Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on local brand loyalty.

H2_a: Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on cognitive loyalty.

 $H2_b$: Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on affective loyalty.

 $H2_c$: Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on conative loyalty.

3.3.. Brand Love and Brand Loyalty

Numerous studies have shown that brand love is one of the precursors to brand loyalty (Carroll and Ahuvia, 2006; Kamat and Parulekar, 2007; Roy et al., 2013, Chao and Huango, 2017) and there is a positive relationship between these two concepts (Şahin and Gültekin, 2017; Batra et al., 2012; Ünal and Aydın, 2013; Aşkın and İpek, 2016). Bagozzi et al.'s (2014) study supports this notion, showing a strong relationship between brand love and brand loyalty. Bergkvist and Bech-Larsen's (2010) study further indicates brand loyalty as an outcome of brand love, while Drennan et al. (2015) found that brand love serves as both a mediator and a direct influence on brand loyalty.

In addition to these findings, Kalyoncuoğlu's (2017) study on Starbucks coffee shop customers demonstrated a positive and significant effect on brand love through brand loyalty. This supports the definition of loyalty by Jacoby and Kyner (1973) as a positive attitude toward a brand. According to the results of Aydın (2017) research, brand trust and innovation affect brand love, brand loyalty, intention to rebuy, and willingness to pay more. In addition, the impact of brand trust on loyalty is mediated through brand love.

Lastly, Şahin and Gültekin (2017) found that ethnocentric tendencies of consumers play a strong role in shaping brand loyalty. This suggests that consumers' cultural preferences and inclinations can significantly influence their loyalty to specific brands.

In summary, the relationship between brand love and brand loyalty is well-established in the literature, with various studies demonstrating that brand love can directly influence or mediate the development of brand loyalty. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H3: Brand love has a positive effect on brand loyalty H3_a: Brand love has a positive effect on cognitive brand loyalty H3_b: Brand love has a positive effect on affective loyalty H3_c: Brand love has a positive effect on conative loyalty

3.4. Mediating role of brand love in consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty

After reviewing the findings in the literature, it is hypothesized that brand love and customer ethnocentrism may be connected in some indirect way. In other words, brand love somewhat mitigates the impact of customer ethnocentrism on brand loyalty. Therefore, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

H4: Brand love plays a mediating role between customer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty

4. METHODOLOGY

A quantitative research model method was used to test the models and hypotheses. In order to carry out the research a questionnaire was created. The questionnaire consisted of two parts. The first part of questionnaire presents respondents' selection criteria of the coffee shops. Second part of the questionnaire deals with the measurements of the constructs of the study. Brand royalty and brand love scale was used Aşkın and İpek (2016), brand loyalty adapted from the following studies Quester and Lim (2003), Back and Parks (2003), Cooper-Martin (1993), Jacoby and Kyner (1973) and Mano and Oliver (1993) and have fourteen (14) items. Also brand love adapted which is developed by Batra et al (2012) includes thirteen (13) items. Validity of the content is determined by current research and discussion with other marketing professorsTo calculate customer ethnocentrism; Shimp and Sharma (1987)'s CETSCALE is used for measuring ethnocentric tendencies which have nine (17) items..

As sampling method, convenience sampling method was used. Correspondents were graduate and post graduate students at Aydın Adnan Menderes University in Turkey. 7-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree) was used in survey. All responders are also asked to choose their preferred coffee shop brand from the other three brands. After a pre-test survey is done with 40 respondents, total of 285 usable questionnaires collected.

The relationships of brand love, customer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty were empirically tested by Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) method. All analyses are done and visualized by IBM AMOS software.

5. EMPRICAL RESULTS

First, the samples was questioned about how frequently they visited coffee shops and whether they had any preferences for domestic or foreign coffee shops. The analysis of the questionnaire

responses about the factors that respondents consider when selecting a coffee shop is then provided below.

Valid	Frequency Percent		Valid	Cumulative
			Percent	Percent
Everyday	24	8,4	8,5	8,5
Two or three times a week	116	40,7	40,8	49,3
Once a week	70	24,6	24,6	73,9
Once in a month	60	21,1	21,1	95,1
Never	14	4,9	4,9	100,0
Total	284	99,6	100,0	
System	1	,4		
	285	100,0		

Table 1: How often do you go to coffee shop?

According to the Table 1 total of 284 participants completed the survey question, and the responses were classified into five categories: everyday, two or three times a week, once a week, once a month, and never. The majority of respondents (40.7%) reported going to a coffee shop two or three times a week, while 24.6% visited once a week, and 21.1% visited once a month. A small percentage of participants (4.9%) indicated that they never go to a coffee shop.

The table also provides valid and cumulative percentages, which indicate the proportion of participants within each category and the percentage of the overall sample that falls into each category. The valid percentages range from 4.9% for the "Never" category to 40.7% for the "Two or three times a week" category. The cumulative percentages show the proportion of participants who fall into each category and all previous categories. For example, the cumulative percentage for the "Two or three times a week" category is 49.3%, which means that 49.3% of respondents visit a coffee shop at least two or three times a week or more frequently.

		Frequenc	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
		У		Percent	Percent
Valid	Foreign	130	45,6	46,4	46,4
	Domestic	149	52,3	53,2	99,6
	4,00	1	,4	,4	100,0
	Total	280	98,2	100,0	
Missing	System	5	1,8		
Total		285	100,0		

 Table 2: Origin of your preferred business when you drink coffee?

Table 2 displays the origin of the preferred business that individuals visit when drinking coffee, based on responses from 280 survey participants. The respondents' preferences were categorized into two options: foreign and domestic. Of the participants, 52.3% reported that their preferred business was domestic, while 45.6% preferred foreign coffee shops. Only 1 participant (0.4%) selected the option "4.00". The table also displays valid and cumulative percentages. The valid percentages represent the proportion of respondents within each category, with 53.2% preferring domestic businesses and 46.4% preferring foreign businesses. The results suggest that with a slight majority of participants preferring domestic businesses.

5.1. Measure reliability and validity

The factor analysis results are shown in Table 3. Then, in order to reveal the main factors (dimensions) of the data set consisting of these variables that are related, firstly Factor Analysis was performed. The table 3 shows the factor loadings, Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability (CR), and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for each question and construct.

Scale	Question	Questions	Factor	Cronbach's	CR	AVE
	Number		Load	Alpha		
	CE1	Purchasing foreign-	0,780			
		made products in un-				
		Turkish.				

Table 3: Factor and Relaibility Analysis

	CE2	It is not right to	0,782			
		purchase foreign				
		products, because it puts				
		Turkish people out of a				
		job.				
	CE3	A real Turk should	0,805			
		always buy Turkish				
		products.				
	CE4	It is always best to	0,707			
		purchase Turkish				
		products				
Consumer	CE5	Turkey should not buy	0,776			
Ethnocentrism		foreign products,		0,912	0,922	0,569
		because this hurts				
		Turkish business and				
		causes unemployment				
	CE6	It may cost me in the	0.762			
		long run, but I prefer to				
		support Turkish				
		products				
	CE7	Foreigners should not	0,774			
		be allowed to put their				
		products on our markets				
	CE8	Foreign products should	0,661			
		be taxed heavily to				
		reduce their entry into				
		Turkey				
	CE9	Turkish consumers who	0,730			
		purchase products made				
		in other countries are				
		responsible for putting				
		their fellow Turks out of				
		work				

		BL1	I often have to think	0,700			
			about this coffee shop				
		BL2	I want to go this coffee	0,715			
Brand Lo	ove		shops often				
		BL3	I have often been in	0,801			
			contact within the past				
		BL4	I am willing to spend a	0,708	0,917	0,900	0,563
			lot of money and time				
			on it				
		BL5	Was immediately a	0,826			
			natural fit				
		BL6	I feel an emotional	0,780			
			connection with the				
			brand				
		BL7	I feel anxiety of the 0,712				
			thought that the brand				
			might disappear				
		CG1	When I go to a coffee	0,733			
			shop I think of "X"				
Brand	Cognitive		brand more than other				
Loyalty	Loyalty		brands				
		CG2	I pay attention to brand	0,751			
			"X" above other brands				
		CG3	Brand "X "gives me a	0,766	0,880	0,832	0,501
			high level of product				
			quality when compared				
			to other brands				
		CG4	No coffee shop brand	0,522			
			can offer better products				
			than brand "X"				
		AL1	I feel better when I use	0,709			
			brand "X"				

Affective	AL2	Brand "X" excites me	0,647			
Loyalty		more than other brands				
	AL3	I like "X" brand more	0,839	0,882	0,816	0,528
		than other brands				
	CL1	For me, buying brand	0,661			
Conative		"X" is more important				
Loyalty		than buying any other				
		brand of coffee		0,852	0,803	0,578
	CL2	I see the brand "X" as	0,820			
		my first purchase choice				

For consumer ethnocentrism, the results indicate high reliability, with all nine questions showing strong factor loadings ranging from 0.661 to 0.805. The Cronbach's alpha and CR values were both above 0.9, indicating excellent internal consistency, and the AVE value of 0.569 was above the recommended threshold of 0.5, indicating good convergent validity.

For brand love, the results were mixed, with factor loadings ranging from 0.700 to 0.801. The Cronbach's alpha and CR values were both high, indicating good internal consistency. Although the AVE value of 0.563, it is still very close to recommended threshold of 0.5.

For brand loyalty, the results showed good reliability for all three dimensions (cognitive, affective, and conative loyalty), with factor loadings ranging from 0.522 to 0.820, Cronbach's alpha values ranging from 0.852 to 0.882, and CR values ranging from 0.803 to 0.832. The AVE values ranged from 0.501 to 0.578, indicating good convergent validity.

5.2. Structural model results

Structural model results present the goodness-of-fit indices for the three scales of the study: Brand Love, Consumer Ethnocentrism, and Brand Loyalty. The indices provide an evaluation of how well the theoretical model fits the empirical data. The indices include Goodness of Fit Index (GFI), Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI), Incremental Fit Index (IFI), Normed Fit Index (NFI), Non-Normed Fit Index (NNFI), and Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and p-value for the test of close fit (RMSEA < .05),

Goodness of Fit Indices	Brand Love	Consumer	Brand
		Ethnocentrism	Loyalty
GFI	0.93	0.88	0.85
AGFI	0.86	0.80	0.73
IFI	0.96	0.91	0.92
NFI	0,95	0,90	0,91
NNFI	0.94	0.88	0.89
CFI	0.96	0.91	0.92
RMSEA	0.12	0.13	0.15
p value for test of close fit	0.000	0.000	0.000
(RMSEA < .05)			

 Table 4: Goodness of Fit Indicates

Table 4 indicates that the Consumer Ethnocentrism, the Brand Love and Brand Loyalty models have goodness of fit indices model. The GFI values are above the recommended cutoffs 0.85 and acceptable. The AGFI values for brand love and consumer ethnocentrism models are goodness of fit index but brand loyalty slightly below the recommended cut off of 0.80. IFI, NFI and CFI cutoffs 0,90 , respectively, indicating a good fit as well. The NFI value indicatedacceptable fit (NFI>0,80). The RMSEA value indicated a poor (RMSEA = 0.10) fit and The RMSEA values are below the recommended cutoff of 0.10, indicating a good fit. Even when the model is properly specified, the RMSEA for models with small df can frequently exceed cutoffs (Kenny et al., 2015). The p values for the test of close fit are all less than 0.05, indicating that the models fit the data well.

Table 5: Fit Statistics

Fit statistics	Decision criteria (Source)	Result
χ2/degrees of	<2,00 (Bollen, 1989; <u>Tabachnick &</u>	1,903
freedom	Fidel1,2001	
GFI	>0,85 (Anderson&Gerbing, 1984; Marsh et al.,	0.87
	1988)	
AGFI	>0,80 (<u>Schumacker & Lomax, 1996)</u>	0.84

RMSEA	<0,05 (Tabachnik & Fidell, 2001; Steiger,	0.057
	2007)	
p value for	< 0,05 (Bentler, 1990; Tabachnik & Fidell,	0,000
RMSE<.05	2001)	
NNFI	>0,80 (Hu &Bentler,1999)	0,95
NFI	>0,80 (Hooper, Coughlan & Mullen, 2008)	0.94
CFI	>0,95 (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Schermelleh-Engel	0.95
	<u>et al., 2003).</u>	

Different metrics were used to assess the overall goodness of fit. We used eight indices: Chi-square goodness of fit (χ 2/degrees of freedom), the goodness of fit index (GFI), the adjusted goodness of fit index (AGFI), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), p value for RMSE, the non-normed fit index (NNFI), normed fit index (NFI) and the comparative Fit Index (CFI).

Measuring the consistency between observed and estimated covariances, chi-square is the first value which must be analyzed (Hu and Bentler, 1999). Chi-square value (χ^2) is calculated as 3994.40 (p<0,05) which was an expected result in such situations where sample size is large (<u>Çokluk, Şekercioğlu et al., 2010</u>). For this reason, it is recommended in the literature that normed chi-square value obtained from degrees of freedom value divided by chi-square should be taken into account instead of chi-square alone, and it is called relative Chi Square value (<u>Hair et al., 2010</u>). Relative chi-square is calculated as 3,22 demonstrated that collected data suggest reasonably adequate model fit (<u>Wheaton et al., 1977</u>).

The fit statistics in Table 4 provide information on how well the measurement model fits the data. The chi-square/degrees of freedom ratio is a measure of absolute fit and indicates how well the model fits the data compared to a null model. In this case, the ratio is 1,903, which is below the threshold of 2.00 suggested by Bollen (1989).

The Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) and Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI) both measure the proportion of variance and covariance accounted for by the model. The GFI value of 0.87 and the AGFI value of 0.84 indicate that the model fits the data well, although they are slightly below the recommended thresholds of 0.85 and 0.80, respectively, suggested by (Anderson&Gerbing, 1984; Marsh et al., 1988; Schumacker and Lomax, 1996)

The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) provides a measure of how well the model fits the data, adjusting for the number of parameters in the model. The value of 0.057 is below the recommended threshold of 0.10 suggested by Tabachnik and Fidell (2001), indicating good model fit. The p-value for the RMSEA test of close fit is 0.000, indicating that the model fits the data significantly better than a null model.

We used two divided by degrees of freedom (instead of two because it is sensitive to larger sample sizes) (CFI). According to Schermelleh-Engel and Moosbrugger (2003), the acceptable fits for GFI and AGFI must be greater than 0,90 and 0,85; however, these values are calculated to be 0,812 and 0,78, respectively. It is not advised to use GFI and AGFI in the literature because of how sample size affects these fit indices (Fan and Sivo, 2005, Sharma et al., 2005).

As a result, the hypothetic model is reasonably fitted as measured by other fit indices including the NNFI, NFI, and CFI. Modifications are not taken into account in this study solely by a few fitted indices that meet the minimum criteria.

Non-Normed Fit Index (NNFI), The Normed Fit Index (NFI) and Comparative Fit Index (CFI), all measure how well the model fits the data compared to a baseline model. The values of 0.95 for NNFI, 0.94 for NFI and 0.95 for CFI. NNFI, NFI and CFI indicate good model fit suggested by Hu and Bentler (1999) and Hooper et al.(2008).

Overall, the fit statistics suggest that the measurement model fits the data well, although some of the values are slightly below the recommended thresholds.

5.3. Path Modelling and Hypotheses Testing





The results (Table 6) provide evidence for H1 (consumer ethnocentrism and brand love), H2 (consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty), H2_a (consumer ethnocentrism and cognitive brand loyalty), H2_b (consumer ethnocentrism and affective brand loyalty), H2_c (consumer ethnocentrism and affective brand loyalty), H2_c (consumer ethnocentrism and conative brand loyalty) and H3 (brand love and brand loyalty), H3_a (brand love and cognitive brand loyalty), H3_b (brand love and affective brand loyalty), H3_c (brand love and conative brand loyalty). All hypotheses are supported.

	Table 5: Hypotheses Testing Results					
Hypotheses	Causality	Coefficients	t-values			
Ц1	Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive direct effect on					
HI	brand love	0.23	3.48*			
บว	Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive direct effect on					
H2	brand loyalty	0.12	2.53*			

Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on cognitive						
Consumer ethnocentrism has a positive effect on conative						
:						
:						
}*						

* Hypothesis had been confirmed.

5.4. The Mediating Model Results

The methods of Baron and Kenny (1986) were used to test the hypotheses of the study. The conditions proposed by Baron and Kenny for the mediating effect are as follows:

- 1. The level of variability of the independent variable significantly explained by variables of the assumed mediator variable,
- 2. The variables of the mediator variable are explained significantly by the variables of the independent variable,
- 3. When conditions (a) and (b) are taken under control, it is seen that the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable is zero and the previous significant relationship between these two variables is no longer significant. This is an indication of a strong mediation effect.

Table 6: Results on the Mediating Role of Brand Love in the Effect of ConsumerEthnocentrism on Brand Loyalty

Effect	Prediction	Standard Error	Т	Р	Conclusion

Customer Ethnocentrism \rightarrow Brand	0.281	0.055	4,854	***	Acceptance
Loyalty			,		1
Direct Effect	Prodiction	Standard	т	р	Conclusion
Direct Elicer	Treatention	Error	1	1	Conclusion
Customer Ethnocentrism \rightarrow Brand	0.121	0.007	2 388	***	Accentance
Love \rightarrow Brand Loyalty	0.121	0.097	2.388		Acceptance
Indiract Effoct	Prodiction		Confidence		Conclusion
mun ect Enfect	Treaction		Interval		Conclusion
Customer Ethnocentrism \rightarrow Brand	0 160		(0.075, 0.262)		Significant
Love \rightarrow Brand Loyalty	0.109		(0.075, 0	.202)	Significant
***p<0.05					

While the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable was significant, it was examined whether there was a mediator role in this effect. The fact that the values in the 95% confidence interval do not include 0 according to the results of the model shows that it has a mediator role in the model (0.075, 0.262). After deciding that there is an intermediary role, it was examined whether the direct effect was significant to decide on the type of this mediator role. As a result, it was seen that the direct effect was significant, but the effect coefficient decreased and it was decided that it was a partial mediator (β =0.121, p<0.05)

6. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

As a result of globalization, local and global brands compete in the marketplace. This is consistent with the growth of coffee shop brands in Turkey on account of both domestic and international demand. Brand loyalty has emerged as a crucial idea in this competitive industry. Businesses create a variety of techniques to guarantee customer brand loyalty. While elements like quality, comfort, brand image, service quality, and service environment are crucial in fostering brand loyalty. In addition consumer ethnocentrism and brand love are two of the most important factors affecting customer loyalty. By appealing to the national sentiments of consumers in their place of origin, it seeks to ensure client loyalty

The study's results were confirmed by previous studies. Firstly, a positive and significant relationship between consumer ethnocentrism and brand love was found as demonstrated in previous studies such as Van den Berg et al. (2017) and Pentz et al., (2017). Secondly, this study confirms the positive effect of consumer ethnocentrism on brand loyalty as agreed with the work by Zeithaml et al. (1996), Eroğlu and Sarı (2011) and Makanyeza (2015), Şahin and Gültekin (2017) and disagreement Areiza-Padilla et al. (2020). Thirdly, this study put forward a positive correlation through brand love to brand loyalty, which supported by the previous studies as; Carroll and Ahuvia, 2006; Kamat and Parulekar, 2007; Roy et al., 2013, Bagozzi et al.'s ,2014; Chao and Huango, 2017 and Şahin and Gültekin, 2017.

Writers could not reach any previous study about the relationship between brand love and customer ethnocentrism. For this reason, one of the aims of the study is to contribute to the literature about the mentioned relationship. The results of the research have proved that brand love has partially mediating role in the relationship of customer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty. According to study findings, brand love and loyalty are correlated with consumer ethnocentric attitudes. The findings suggest that brand love and loyalty are influenced by client ethnocentrism. We demonstrated how brand love is influenced favorably by brand loyalty. This study is important in terms of showing that consumer ethnocentrism affects customer loyalty in local coffee shops and that the effect of ethnocentrism on loyalty can be increased by using brand love.

This study also has some limitations. One of them is the dimensional structure of the relationship between the variables. Although many studies (Guo et al., 2018; Maksan et al., 2019; Teng, 2019) like this study, dealt with the one-dimensional structure of brand love, brand loyalty and consumer ethnocentrism, future studies can be carried out in multidimensional. The sample of this study is limited only to university students, and convenience sampling was used as a sampling technique. Although many studies used students as samples, it would be beneficial to repeat the study by selecting different samples and product groups from different cultures.

This study has put forward the relationship between consumer ethnocentrism and brand loyalty by the mediating effect of brand love. Thus, they continue to support regional brands. Additionally, consumers who form an emotional connection with the brand are said to have greater brand loyalty than other consumers. As a result, people with strong national loyalty prefer local products. Nationalistic feelings of people may lie behind this situation. Customers acting with this

kind of awareness may think that by consuming local products, they contribute to the country's economy and act morally right.

References

- Abosag, I. and Farah, M. (2014). "The influence of religiously motivated consumer boycotts on brand image, loyalty and product judgment", *European Journal of Marketing*, 48 (11/12): 2262-2283.
- Açıkdilli G., Ziemnowicz C. and Bahhouth V. (2018). "Consumer ethnocentrism in Turkey: ours are better than theirs", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 30 (1): 45–57.
- Agbonifoh, B., A. and Eliminian J., U. (1994). "Attitudes of developing countries towards 'Countryof-Origin' products in an era of multiple brands", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 11(4): 97-116.
- Ahuvia, A. C (1993). "I Love It! Towards a unifying theory of love across diverse love object", *Advances in Consumer Research*, 35: 1-5.
- Albert, N. and Merunka, D. (2013). "The role of brand love in consumer-brand relationship", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 30(3): 258-266.
- Anderson, J. C., and Gerbing, D. W. (1984). "The effect of sampling error on convergence, improper solutions, and goodness-of-fit indices for maximum likelihood confirmatory factor analysis". *Psychometrika*, 49, 155-173.
- Areiza-Padilla, J. A., Cervera-Taulet, A., and Manzi Puertas, M. A. (2020). "Ethnocentrism at the coffee shop industry: A study of Starbucks in developing countries", *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(4): 1-20.
- Arı, E. S., and Madran, C. (2011). "Satın alma kararlarında tüketici etnosentrizmi ve menşe ülke etkisinin rolü", *Öneri Dergisi*, *9*(35), 15-33.
- Armağan, E. A., and Gürsoy, Ö. (2011). "Satın alma kararlarında tüketici etnosentrizmi ve menşe ülke etkisinin cetscale ölçeği ile değerlendirilmesi". *Organizasyon ve Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 3(2), 67-77.
- Aşkın, N. and İpek, I. (2016). "Marka aşkının marka deneyimi ile marka sadakati arasındaki ilişkiye aracılık etkisi", *Ege Akademik Bakış*, 16 (1): 79-94.

- Avci, İ. (2020). "Yerli markalı otomobil satın alma niyetinde etnosentrizm, ülke imajı ve yenilikçiliğin etkisi: Türkiye'nin otomobili (Togg) bağlamında bir araştırma". Bingöl Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi (BUSBED), 10(20), 439-466.
- Aydın, H. (2017). "Marka güveni, farkındalığı ve benlik imaj uyumunun marka bağlılığına etkisinde marka aşkının aracılık rolü", *Ege Akademik Bakış*, 17 (2): 281-294.
- Aysuna, C. and Altuna, O.K. (2008). "Türkiye ve KKTC tüketicilerinin demografik özellikler açısından etnosentrizm düzeylerinin incelenmesine yönelik bir karşılaştırma", Öneri Dergisi, 8: 147-157.
- Back, K. J., and Parks, S. C. (2003). "A brand loyalty model involving cognitive, affective, and conative brand loyalty and customer satisfaction". *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 27(4), 419-435.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Batra, R., and Ahuvia, A. (2017). "Brand love: development and validation of a practical scale". *Marketing Letters*, 28, 1-14.
- Bagozzi, R.P., Batra, R. and Ahuvia, A. (2014). "Brand love: construct validity, managerial utility, and new conceptual insights, Working Paper", *University of Michigan*, AnnArbor
- Batra, R., Ahuvia, A. and Bagozzi, R. (2012). "Brand love", Journal of Marketing, 76(2): 1-16.
- Bawa, A. (2004). "Consumer ethnocentrism: CETSCALE validation and measurement of extent", *Vikalpa*, 29(3), 43-58.
- Bentler, P. (1990). "Comperative fit indices in structural models", *Psychological Bulletin*, 107: 238-246.
- Bergvist L. and Bech-Larsen T. (2010). "Two studies of consequences and actionable antecedents of brand love", *Journal of Brand Management*, 17: 504-518.
- Bizumic, B. (2014). "Who coined the concept of ethnocentrism? A brief report". *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 2: 3–10.
- Bollen, K.A (1989). Structural equations with latent variables, New York: John Willey & Sons
- Bryman and Cramer (2005) *Quantitative Data Analysis with SPSS 12 and 13*: A Guide for Social Scientists, Routledge
- Carroll, B. and Ahuvia, A. (2006). "Some antecedents and outcomes of brand love", *Marketing Letters*, 17(2): 79-90.

- Cooper, Martin, E. (1993). "Effects of information format and similarity among alternatives on consumer choice processes", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Sciences*, 21(3): 239-246.
- Çilingir, Z. (2014). "Tüketici etnik kökenciliği eğilimi ölçeği (CETSCALE): İstanbul ili tüketicileri üzerine bir pilot araştırma". Uluslararası İktisadi ve İdari İncelemeler Dergisi, (13), 209-232
- Çokluk, Ö., Şekercioğlu, G. and Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2010). Sosyal Bilimler İçin Çok Değişkenli İstatistik: SPSS ve LISREL Uygulamaları. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- Day, G. S. (1969). "A two-dimensional concept of brand loyalty", Journal of Advertising Research, 9(3), 29-35.
- Demir, E. (2018). "Tüketici etnosentrizmi: Türkiye ve İsveç karşılaştırması", Akademik Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi (AKAD), 10(18), 120-133.
- Dick, A. S., and Basu, K. (1994). "Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22, 99-113.
- Ehrenberg, A.S.C., Uncles M., and Goodhardt, G. (2004), "Understanding Brand Performance Measures: Using Dirichlet Benchmarks", *Journal of Business Research*, 57(12):1307-1325.
- Eroğlu, A and Sarı, S. (2011), Tüketici etnosentrizmi ve marka bağlılığı arasındaki ilişki", *Akdeniz Üniversitesi Uluslararası Alanya İşletme Fakültesi Dergisi*, 3(2): 39–55.
- Fan, X., and Sivo, S. A. (2005). "Sensitivity of fit indexes to misspecified structural or measurement model components". *Structural Equation Modeling*, 12(3): 343–367.
- Fournier, S. (1998). "Consumers and their brands: developing relationship theory in consumer research", *Journal of Consumer Research*, 24(4): 343-372.
- Fu, X. (2019). "Existential authenticity and destination loyalty: Evidence from heritage tourists". Journal of Destination Marketing & Management, 12, 84-94.
- Good, L.K. and Huddleston, P. (1995). "Ethnocentrism of Polish and Russian consumers: Are feelings and intentions related?", *International Marketing Review*, 12(5), 35-48.
- Guo, G., Tu, H., and Cheng, B. (2018). "Interactive effect of consumer affinity and consumer ethnocentrism on product trust and willingness-to-buy: A moderated-mediation model", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 35(7): 688-697.

- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011). "PLS-SEM: Indeed, a silver bullet", *Journal* of Marketing Theory and Practice, 19(2): 139–151.
- Harris, L. C., and Goode, M. M. H. (2004). "The four levels of loyalty and the pivotal role of trust: A study of online service dynamics", *Journal of Retailing*, 80, 139–158. 10.1016/j.jretai.2004.04.002
- Herche, J. (1992). "A note on the predictive validity of the CETSCALE", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 20, 261-264.
- Hooper, Coughlan and Mullen, M. (2008). "Structural equation modelling: Guidelines for determing model fit", *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, 6 (1): 53-60.
- Hu, L. and Bentler, P. (1999). "Cut-off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives", *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1): 1-55.
- Jacoby, J. and Chestnut, R.W. (1978) Brand Loyalty, NewYork, John Willey.
- Jacoby, J. and Kyner, D. B. (1973). "Brand loyalty vs. repeat purchasing behavior", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 10(1): 1-9.
- Kalyoncuoğlu, S. (2017). "Markaya duyulan güven ile marka sadakati ilişkisinde marka aşkının aracılık rolü: Starbucks markası üzerine bir araştırma", *Journal of Tourism and Gastronomy Studies*, 5(4), 383-402.
- Kang, J., Tang, L., and Lee, J. Y. (2015). "Self-congruity and functional congruity in brand loyalty". *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 39(1), 105-131.
- Kenny, D. A., Kaniskan, B., and McCoach, D. B. (2015). "The performance of RMSEA in models with small degrees of freedom". *Sociological Methods & Research*, 44(3), 486-507.
- Kim, S.-H., Kim, M.-S. and Lee, D.H. (2016). "The effects of personality traits and congruity on customer satisfaction and brand loyalty: Evidence from coffee shop customers", *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure* 12: 3-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/S1745-354220160000012001</u>
- Klein, J. G., and R. Ettenson. (1999). "Consumer animosity and consumer ethnocentrism". *Journal of International Consumer Marketing* 11(4): 5–24.
- Knight, G.A. (1999). "Consumer preferences for foreign and domestic products", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 16 (2): 1-11.
- Küçükemiroğlu, O. (1999). "Market segmentation by using consumer lifestyle dimensions and ethnocentrism", *European Journal of Marketing*, 33(5/6): 470–487.

La Barre, P. (1994). Quality's Silent Prayer, Industry Week, 243(8): 47-48.

- Langner, T.D., Bruns, Fischeret, A. and Rossiter, R. (2014). "Falling in love with brands: A dynamic analysis of the trajectories of brand love", *Marketing Letters*, 27: 1-12.
- Lantz, G. and Loeb, S. (1996). "Country of origin and ethnocentrism: An analysis of Canadian and American preferences using social identity theory", *Advances in Consumer Research*, 23: 374-378.
- Li, X., Yen, C. L., and Liu, T. (2020). "Hotel brand personality and brand loyalty: An affective, conative and behavioral perspective", *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 29(5), 550-570.
- Makanyeza, C. (2015). "Consumer awareness, ethnocentrism and loyalty: an integrative model", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 27 (2):167-183.
- Maksan, M. T., Kovačić, D., and Cerjak, M. (2019). "The influence of consumer ethnocentrism on purchase of domestic wine: application of the extended theory of planned behavior", *Appetite*, 142, 104393.
- Marsh, H. W., Balla, J. R., and McDonald, R. P. (1988). "Goodness-of-fit indexes in confirmatory factor analysis: The effect of sample size", *Psychological bulletin*, 103(3), 391.
- Mano, H. and Oliver, R. (1993). "Assessing the dimensionality and structure of consumption experience", *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20 (3): 451-466.
- Miguel, L. P., Marques, S. H., and Duarte, A. P. (2022). "Characterising the fruit and vegetables consumer ethnocentrism in a southern European country: an assessment of the reliability and validity of the "cetscale" in Portugal", *Food Quality and Preference*, 104770.
- Mohammad, O., Ahmed, Z. U., Honeycutt, Jr.E.D. and Tyebkhan, T. H. (2000). "Does 'Made in ...' matter to consumers? a Malaysian study of country-of-origin effect", *Multinational Business Review*, 8(2): 69-73.
- Nadimi, I., Mansori, S., and Ismail, Z. M. M. (2012). "Global replication of CETSCALE: A study of the Iranian market", *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, 20, 261-268.
- Netemeyer, R.G.; Durvasula, S. and Lichtenstein, D.R. (1991). "A cross-national assessment of the reliability and validity of the cetscale", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 28(3), 320-327.

Oliver, R. (1999). Whence Consumer Loyalty? Journal of Marketing, 63 (Special Issue): 33-44.

- Oliver, R. L. (1997). Satisfaction: A behavioral perspective on the consumer. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Papadopoulos, N., Heslop, L. A. and Beraes, J. (1990). "National stereotypes and product evaluations in a socialist country", *International Marketing Review*, 7(1): 32-47.
- Pentz, C., Terblanche, N. and Boshoff, C. (2017). "Antecedents and consequences of consumer ethnocentrism: evidence from South Africa", *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 12 (2): 199–218.
- Quester, P. and Lim, A.L. (2003). "Product involvement/ brand loyalty: is there a link?", *The Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 12(1): 22-38.
- Ramadania, Gunawan, S., and Rustam, M. (2015). "Cultural similarity, consumer ethnocentrism and product necessity in evaluation of Malaysian products: Indonesian consumer perspective", *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211: 533-540.
- Roberts, K. (2004) Lovemarks: The Future Beyond Brands. New York: Powerhouse Books.
- Roy, S., Eshghi, A. and Sarkar, A. (2013). "Antecedents and consequences of brand love", *Journal* of Brand Management, 20(4): 325-332.
- Saffu, K., and Walker, J. H. (2005). "An assessment of the consumer ethnocentric scale (CETSCALE) in an advanced and transitional country: The case of Canada and Russia", *International Journal of Management*, 22(4), 556.
- Schermelleh-Engel, K. and Moosbrugger, H. (2003). "Evaluating the fit structural equations models: test of significance and descriptive goodness-of-fit measures", *Methods of Psychological Research* Online, 8 (2): 23-74.
- Schumacker, R. E. and Lomax, R. G. (1996). "A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling". (First edition). NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Shimp, T. and Madden, T. (1988). "Consumer-object relations: a conceptal framework based analogusly on Sternberg's triangular theory of love", Advances in Consumer Research. 15 (1):163-168.
- Shimp, T.A and Sharma, S. (1987). "Consumer ethnocentrism: construction and validation of the cetscale", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 24 (3): 280-289.
- Stepchenkova, S. (2022). "Comparative analysis and applicability of gene, cetscale, and te ethnocentrism scales in a tourism context". *Current Issues in Tourism*, 1-18.

- Steiger, J. H. (2007). "Understanding the limitations of global fit assessment in structural equation modeling". *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5), 893-898.
- Sternberg, R. (1986). A triangular theory of love, *Psychology Review*, 93 (2): 1-28.
- Strizhakova, Y., and Coulter, R. A. (2015). "Drivers of local relative to global brand purchases: a contingency approach", *Journal of International Marketing*, 23(1): 1-22.
- Sulphey, M. M., and Faridi, M. R. (2020). "Consumer ethnocentrism: validation of CETSCALE and measurement among Saudi citizens. *International Journal of Electronic Marketing and Retailing*, 11(4), 326-342.
- Sumner, W.G. (1906). Folkways: a study of the sociological importance of usages, manners, customs, mores and morals, Boston, MA: Ginn and Company
- Şahin, E. and Gültekin, C., (2017). "Markaya duyulan güven ve etnosentrik eğilimlerin marka sadakatine etkisi: bir marka örneği", Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi, 22 (4): 993-1012.
- Şahin, E., and Sanci, T. (2017). "Satın alma kararlarında tüketici etnosentrizmi ve menşe ülke etkisi: selçuk üniversitesi iktisadi ve idari bilimler fakültesinde bir uygulama", *Sosyal Ekonomik Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 17, 145-169.
- Tabachnick, B.G., and Fidell, L.S. (2001). *Using multivariate statistics*, (4th ed.) Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Teo, P. C., Mohamad, O., and Ramayah, T. (2011). "Testing the dimensionality of consumer ethnocentrism scale (CETSCALE) among a young Malaysian consumer market segment", *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(7), 2805.
- Tuncer, M. A., and Gökşen, H. (2016). "İçecek sektöründe tüketici etnosentrizmi: türkiye-hollanda karşılaştırmalı uygulaması", *International Review of Economics and Management*, 4(1), 67-95.
- Uzkurt, C. and Özmen, M. (2004). "Tüketici etnosentrizmi ve ülke orijini etkisinin tüketicilerin yerli ve yabancı ürünlere yönelik tutumlarına etkileri", *9. Ulusal Pazarlama Kongresi*, 6-8 Ekim, Ankara: Gazi Üniversitesi: 262-274.
- Ünal, S. and Aydın, H. (2013). "An investigation on the evaluation of the factors affecting brand love", *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 92: 76-85.

Van den Berg, A., Villiers, M. and Chinomana, R. (2017). "Ethnocentrism and local brand love: what are the consequences for global brands? the case of fashion brands in South Africa", Saims, (September), pp. 1–15.

Varma, P.K (2007) The Indian middle class, Penguin Book, New York, America

- Vida, I and Fairhurst, A (1999)." Factors underlying the phenomenon of consumer ethnocentricity: evidence for four central European countries", *The International Review of Retail Distribution and Consumer Research*, 90(4): 321-337.
- Wang, C., L. and Chen Z.X. (2004)." Consumer ethnocentrism and willingness to buy domestic products in a developing country setting", *Testing Moderating Effects, Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 21 (6): 391-400.
- Wheaton, B., Muthén, B., Alwin, D. F., and Summers, G. F. (1977)." Assessing reliability and stability in panel models", *In D. R. Heise (Ed.), Sociological Methodology* 1977: 84–136.
- Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., and Parasuraman, A. (1996). "The behavioral consequences of service quality", *Journal of Marketing*, 60 (2): 31-46.